The Infinity QUANTUM LINE SOURCE

Technical aspects of extracting essentially flat frequency from an enclosure (free of characteristic resonance peak in the bass) from 18 to 32,000 Hz. with the polar dispersion effectivity of a point source.

The goal.

The theoretically perfect way of reproducing sound in a room is to have a massless point source that radiates. In effect, such a source could ideally reverse the process by which the microphone initially gathered in the sound at a point, and subsequently could reissue it from a point. In fact, a microphone playing backwards out into the air would do virtually what is desired.

Unfortunately, no speaker the size of a microphone can, with present technology, reproduce the entire music spectrum. For this, radiators larger than a microphone are required for all but the highest frequencies, and, to obtain equal intensity and quality of sound all along the sound spectrum, several radiators are required, each handling a portion of the frequency band.

At the low end of the sound spectrum, the radiators are quite large — woofers over a foot in diameter are commonplace. Thus, a speaker that contains several radiators takes up a large frontal area, perhaps 5 to 10 square feet, contrasted with the 1 square inch or so that the microphone's sensing face occupied when it gathered in the sound waves at the recording session. Sound gathered at a point, and then reproduced from several points over a frontal plane, differs from the original, lessening the illusion of reality that speakers aim to produce.

Another factor - the fact that several discrete frequency bands of the music are reproduced by individual radiators - leads to phase differences between the bands because of the individual response times and mass/acceleration characteristics of the radiators. Thus, portions of the output music signal are staggered in their arrival at the listener's ears. whereas they should all arrive at exactly the same point in time. The result is blurred transients and imprecise staging of the total frequency spectrum. In addition, a continuing problem of speaker

design has been the inherent characteristic resonance

of a speaker enclosure, which introduces an impedance peak, resulting in back-EMF (electro-motive force). and drastically alters the character of bass frequency response. This characteristic resonance and subsequent loss of efficiency is subject to the immutable laws of Physics and has vexed acoustic designers for decades.

The net result of these factors is that even the best speakers, until now, have fallen short of presenting truly accurate music reproduction because of three predominant limitations: (1) they cannot produce a flat frequency response over the entire sound spectrum because of enclosure resonance in the bass region, (2) they introduce phase discrepancies between various frequency bands of the music signal. and (3) they are unable to radiate the sound spectrum from the ideal point source.

In one speaker enclosure, three solutions

Infinity Systems, Inc., has addressed itself to all of these problems in designing the Quantum Line Source, and is presenting in this speaker practical solutions for all three dilemmas

The first problem - that of obtaining a truly flat frequency response, free of an enclosure resonance peak - has been solved by the invention of a woofer driven with two voice coils of different sizes, each having its own impedance and each responsive at differing frequencies.

The second problem - phase discrepancies - has been solved by developing extremely fast drive units by phase-correcting the crossover, and by positioning these drivers properly in relation to the enclosure and to the other drivers

The third problem, that of devising a point source radiator, was approached by rephrasing the question: "If it is not possible to produce a true point source.

then how, using a frontal plane containing several radiators of considerable area, can we generate sound, within the fam-shaped slice of space occupied by listeners in a room, that is identical in polar dispersion and physical character to that which would be produced by the theoretically perfect point source?"

This rephrasing of the problem excludes any consideration of the amount and quality of the sound that would be heard at ceiling and floor level and that would be heard at ceiling and floor level and the listeners will not be in these areas. The importance of this rephrasing, however, lies in the fact that, though the original problem was not practicably soluble with the original problem was not practicably soluble with utilizing the negral accountier properties of a unique geometric configuration for radiators howen as a line source. It should be emphasized that within the presentled intenting space, also source is every bid as

destraine as a point source.

Solving the three problems, and then combining the three solutions in one speaker enclosure, has produced the Infinity Quantum Line Source. Within the most advanced limits of present technology, this reproducer comes as close as is possible to rereasting the original recorded auditory material within the angular limits of the sound focus (18% horizontal polar dispersion from the frontal plane of the sweeker).

speaker).
The respect in which the Quantum Line Source fails to recreate the original sound is in the acoustics of the room in which the Line Sources are placed. All echoic qualities contributed by the listener's room are in addition to those impressed on the recording therefore, with this extremely accurate reproduction of the acoustic estuation prevailing during the record-order is study or prevailing during the record-order.

ing session, room-acoustic contributions create error.

All these factors are discussed in detail in the following paragraphs.

Efficiency vs bass response: a solution to the dilemma.

Newton's third law, familiar to all beginning physics students, states that "F—MA," or, "Force equals sast times Acceleration." In speaker terms, this can be elaborated as follows: high frequencies require very rapid vibrations of the air, in which the air molecules move extremely short distances. Low frequencies require slow wibrations of the air, in which the molecules move long distances.

Thus, the high-pitched whine of a mosquito requires infinitesimal power usage to vibrate the insect's filmy wings at high speed for tiny distances. However, the bass "thrum" of a great steamship's propellors requires several hundred horsepower to move the giant blades. Similarly, in the ancient, handpumped cathedral organs, the rippling runs of high notes through the narrow, short pipes of the upper keyboard required only an occasional swing of the choirboy's arm to replenish the chest of air. But the ponderous swells of Bach's pedal C's through 32-foottall pipes of huge diameter called for several of the bows to nums with boly seal.

Similarly, in an acoustic suspension speaker, increased bass response (requiring more power to drive the woofer) has always been a corollary of decreased efficiency.

Traditionally in speaker design, if more efficiency is desired in a system of given cabinet volume, bass response must be sacrificed. Conversely, to obtain more bass, it has always been necessary to sacrifice efficiency.

With the concept and realization of the Infinity Matkins Dual-Drive Woofer, it becomes possible for the first time to obtain high sound-pressure levels of essentially flat frequency response long with high efficiency from the same speaker. Further, the device effectively eliminates the reproduction of the undesirable enclosure resonant peak with its distorting effect upon base response.

Low bass response and "Hoffman's Iron Law."

For about twenty years, such advances as acoustic suspension woofers, transmission lines, base reflex designs, and a variety of new materials and processes have steadily improved power-handling capabilities and midbass smoothness. Low bass frequency response, impulse response, and distortion have not significantly improved.

Infinity created the servo-controlled woofer for the state-of-the-art Servo-Statik IA. It has almost incredible bass performance, responding well under the 100 Hz level, but requires a servo amplifier to operate. This system is extraordinarily expensive, and is, therefore, not the most desirable solution to the problem of powering low bass.

Altering the geometry of the enclosure in a conventional, closed-box system is not a workable solution, either. "Hoffman's Iron Law" states that above 150 fix the efficiency of a bass system, E, is equal to the system's resonant frequency, f, cubed and multiplied by the enclosure volume. Thus, $E = \{f_i\}^x$ x enclosure volume.

The relationship implies that to raise efficiency (lessen power demand) and still maintain flat frequency response, it is necessary either to raise the resonant frequency or to increase the volume of the euclosure. Obviously, there are severe practical limits as to the size of enclosure that most persons are willing

to tolerate, and so this route is not acceptable in home uses.

The other approach, increasing the flux density of the magnetic field to brute-force response, does not work, either, as shown in Figure 1:

Figure 1




Figure 1 shows what is commonly referred to as The "See-Saw Effect." If the motor is too small for a given speaker enclosure volume, efficiency is low and there is a bump in the basis conversely, if the motor is too large, efficiency is gained but has response is reduced. (This also points up the falley of assuming that a larger magnet will necessarily improve base response in a speaker.) As can be seen, desired motor which properly assume an a schiered only with a notor which properly assume to oppositing seesaw characteristics.

Figure 1 above that the efficiency and boss frequency response are clearly predetermined for a given size box and the motor efficiency dictated by this parameter. In other words, if more efficiency is desired, has response must be ascrifteed. Conversely, to obtain more base, it is necessary to ascriftee efficiency. This fact is well known and all high-efficiency systems (other than horst) have very limeticine y systems (other than horst) have very limeticine; produced to the produce of the produced of the

It will be seen by examining Figure 1 that for optimal bass response with now of the limitations imposed by "Hoffman's Iron Law," one needs a varying motor strength. For good efficiency above resonance a large motor is needed, and for good bass efficiency a smaller motor is necessary. Must we repeal the laws of physics to accomplish this?


William Watkins faced this enigma in a brilliantly ingenious fashion. He realized that the motor strength was equal to the product of the magnetic field strength of the magnet and the length of coil contained within the magnetic field: that is: Motor strength = Bl, where B = magnetic field strength l = length of coil in the magnetic field. He then began to look at the Bl product in a

different way. He reasoned, suppose I could be, in effect, varied with frequency in such a manner that a lower value of Bi in one frequency range would not effect a higher value of Bi in another range and vieweras. Watkins, at this point, began to see clearly how to solve this enduring problem, and also how to embody it in a loudspeaker system.

The Infinity/Watkins Dual-Drive Woofer

Figure 2 shows the mechanization of the principle. A second voice oil is wound over the conventional voice coil, and is driven by a series LRG (inductanceresistance-capacitance) resonant frequency of the woofer in the enclosure. In addition, it can be shown that if the main voice coil can be disconnected at resonance, a thresh refresses in a countie output occurs. This can be accomplished with a parallel LRG resonant circuit in series with the main voice coil.

Figure 2



The conclusion, then, is simple. With proper design of inductor. Land capacitor (b, the LRC circuit presents almost zero impedance one octave either side of resonance, to effectively remove the secondary voice coil from the current. Furthermore, the parallel LBC circuit in series with the conventional voice coil effectively removes it from the circuit at resonance. Therefore, instead of the usual large increase in impedance at resonance, the impedance will remain virtually constant throughout the earlier has a range.


*Manufactured by Infinity Systems, Inc. under license by Watking Knaineering, Inc. did not violate the laws of physics. A second motor is incredy added, which, because it is of different size, generates less back-EMF and presents lower impedance to the driving amplifier, allowing more wattage intake to the speaker in the area of resonance (essentially, the resonance is eliminated), thereby

At this point, it should be noted that Mr. Watkins

providing more bass response.


Watkins is simply using the wattage that was there all the time in a given power amplifier, but was never being used in the bass range because the impedance barrier at resonance (see Figure 3) prevented it from being accepted.

Figure 3




What has been embodied in the Infinity-Watkins Woofer is a revolutionary, vs. simple, solution to the problem of extracting biass frequencies from a box. The extraordinarily wide and linear frequency response (see Figure 4), the almost perfect impulse response, and the relatively high efficiency are truly a response, and the relatively high efficiency are truly a dimensional quality, a relaxed case of almost over-powering low-frequency energy, like like emissi itself.

Figure 4



EMIT: The Infinity Electromagnetic Induction Tweeter

For the most realistic reproduction of high-frequency sound energy, electrostatic tweeter (EST) devices still reign supreme. There are two basic reasons for this phenomenon. The push-pull electrostatic tweeter is uniformly driven over its entire surface area, as opposed to a conventional tweeters being driven only opposed to a conventional tweeters being driven only developed the conventional tweeters being driven only uniformly driven must coast along with more or less the same motion as the driven part (See Figure 6).



It is obvious that various kinds of distortion result from the fact that the non-uniformly driven portion of the tweeter cannot accurately follow an input audio signal. It then becomes easy to understand that the EST can readily follow the input signal, since all of the radiating area is equally driven with the very powerful electrostatic forces.

The second reason for electrostatic tweeter superiority is that the mass per unit area of the diaphragms is extremely low. In fact, it is lower than the mass of the airload operating on the speakers to extremely high frequencies, usually beyond 25 KHz. This concept is called the inertial propensity of the tweeter and is expressed as Newton's third law.

- F = MA.where:
- F = applied force
- M = mass of object A = acceleration of object.

Obviously, with a given applied force, the acceleration of the diaphragm of any tweeler device is directly proportional to mass. This is where the EST has somroms advantage over conventional devices. It is may be a first order to the conventional devices, thereby having greatly superior conventional devices, thereby having greatly superior conventional devices, thereby having greatly superior and the superior conventional devices, thereby having greatly superior and the superior conventional devices.

Well, if the case for EST is so convincing, then why doesn't everyone use them in one for or another? The answer is that these devices also have some very serious disadvantages, several of them virtually impossible to overcome in any practical way.

- The three major disadvantages are that EST's:

 a) Are subject to voltage breakdown when
 - overdriven.
 b) Must be tranformer-counled.
 - b) Must be tranformer-coupled.
 c) Have bad dispersion problems.

Voltage breakdown problems are probably the greatest factor in preventing their general usage. Since these are high-impedance devices and the output them to be supported by the problems of the problems of the transformer in secondary between them. This introduces both distortion and phase shift, which practically offsets the good quality of the EST. Finally, in their optimized configuration they have a which destroys some of the steroof effect. This effect is partially resolved by the fact that EST's usually function as dipole railstors. However, this makes a

To recapitulate, we have spoken about EST being audio state-of-the-art in spite of the many serious problems which plague It. Indeed, Infinity has always used EST in its "state-of-the-art" Servo-Statik I and Servo-Statik IA. The scientists as Infinity have been thinking about these problems for many years. Specifically, if a waynetic endogue to the EST utilizing EMT could be most, ossentially all the EST problems could be climitated. The problem to the scientists of the control of


diaphragm and rendering the device reasonably efficient would be complicated to the extreme. And even the best almico magnetic sould not create the necessary diaphragm control and requisite efficiency to construct a viable magnetic tweeter. The dilemma persisted until a number of years

ago, when Infinity scientists heard about experimentation with a new wave cart's magnetic material, Samarian Cobalt. Our technical staff immediately realized that this material could be the key to the magnetic analogue of the EST. Samarian Cobalt, having energy products four to seven times greater than alnico, could create the powerful forces magnetically state the EST create electrostatically.

It was this discovery of new rare earth magnetic technology, coupled with the theoretical panacea of line source radiation (to be discussed shortly), that allowed Infinity to construct an almost ideal method of reproducing high-frequency sound radiation. The Infinity Samarian Cobalt tweeter is

constructed in the following fashion: a diaphragm material was selected of exitic plastic material that permits high dissipation of heat. Onto this diaphragm a tim microricuit of conducting material is etched in a specific configuration which allows huge currents to pass through the intense magnetic field in a specific manner, creating a uniform motion of the diaphragm.

Figure 6




The Samarian Cobalt magnet.

As can be seen in Figure 6, Samarian Cobalt magnets M and M' create a magnetic field, B (left to right across the page.) A current, I, is then passed through a microcircuit conductor, C (from top to bottom of the page), and exactly perpendicular to the magnetic field B. Then, since F (the force on the conductor) is cound to Bx x x x x (lwhere list the

length of the conductor in the magnetic field), a force is produced on the conductor in a given direction (out of the page, towards the reader).

If, then, the conductor is attached to a lightweight diphragm, the current flow in the intense magnetic field will cause the diaphragm to move in and out, depending upon the direction of the current flow, i. It is this motion of the diaphragm that causes high-frequency sound radiation.

Figure 7



Specifically, the device is mechanized in a push-pull fashion: the diaphragm. D. suspended between magnets M and M', is pushed and pulled according to the instantaneous direction of the applied current. The current is represented by the small circle on the diaphragm and the sound wavefront emanates as shown by the arrows and sound waves. How successfully, then, has Infinity overcomes.

the problems of the electrostatic tweeters?

Since the EMIT is a current device as we have seen, voltage breakdown is totally absent. In fact, the only input limit is the amount of power the diaphrasm

can accept without melting. Conventional cone tweeters are good for about § watte RNS before failing. The individual EMIT can easily dissipate 300 watts RNS with no failure and, seed in multiples (which is always done), it can sustain even the most powerful amighters on the market today. EMIT, being a current device, obviously does not need a maching transformer for the EMIT is directly could to the output of the anido amplifier without being to the compact of the anido amplifier without being predistorted through the use of a transformer.

Another natural benefit that arises as a by-product from the EMIT is that the load presented to the audio amplifier is essentially resistive to beyond 50 KHz. (EST's present large capacitive loads to the amplifier which cause some of them to be extremely unstable, creating distortion and damage.

The final benefit, and one of the most important, of the EMIT is that its horizontal polar dispersion is nearly perfect. This makes it ideal for application in a line source sound generator, a concept to be explained in later paragraphs.

Assembling a diverse variety of radiators in a phase-concurrent manner.

The actual sound generators in the Quantum Line Source consist of the new 12" Infinity Watkins Dual-Drive Woofer, a midhase coupler handling 200 600 Hz. a line source of special 1½" midrange dome drivers, and a 48-inch vertical strip of EMIT high-frequency drivers. Though each of the 4 elements has its own mass/acceleration characteristics, all work meticalously in phase.

The importance in having coherent phasing is that it determines the ability of speakers to preserve stereo imagining when the listener varies his postform side to side in front of the speakers. In a perfect system, the listener should be able to move anywhere according to the speakers of the perfect speakers, in a perfect speakers, in a perfect speakers, in the listener should be able to move anywhere according to the speakers. In the speakers in the speaker

front of the musicians.

In the quantum Line Source, we designed an unusual group of 1½" dome drivers, paying causeful alternation to phase and occurred alternation to phase and occurred as the control of the control

Transmission-line loading is used to avoid back pressure on the driver, and thus eliminate constraints on its speed of response; prevent reflection of waves back into the driver; and permit further steps to be taken to achieve linear phasing.

Assembling radiators into a line source, and what this accomplishes.

Infinity has explored the four-front of speaker technology to design the very best owned reproducers in each band of the sound spectrum. And we have gone to a considerable amount of trouble to design, configure, and phase them so that they work together to produce flat frequency response over the entire spectrum, with great power-handling capability. But, as you will recall from the beginning of this paper, our ideal would be to combine them into Immossibility, such as produced and the control of the control of

Why would we wish to have that joint source? Because it would radiate equally in all directions, both horizontally and vertically, in other weeds, it would have equal solved sipsersion in both horizontal and vertical planes. If this condition existed, and vertical planes. If this condition existed, so we will be the plane of the

In normal listening, however, the listener does not walk all around the speakers, and he does not perch on top of a stepladder, or listen from under a table. Instead, he occupies a position somewhere between 90° to the left of the speakers and 90° to the left of the speakers and 90° to the right. And his head is usually within a foot or two up or down from the position of a person's head when of the speakers on an couch somewhere in front of the speakers.

It is this volume of space that concern us, from a practical viewpoint, then, and within this volume we can achieve sound propagation that duplicates that which would be generated by a point source.

This comes from utilizing the unique properties of line source redigation.

If our matched drivers are placed in a 48-inch vertical line, one above the other, and if these drivers are so constructed that they have superb horizontal polar dispersion, then they have more than the necessary vertical polar dispersion in order to cover the six feet or so that sitting and standing listeners' heads may be occurying.

The Line Source is a long vertical line of virtually massless high-frequency radiators radiating beyond 20 KHz over a 180% borizontal are, from full left to full right, and with nearly perfect phase response and frequency response. To the listener within the fan-shaped alloe of space just described, the unsate sounds the same as if it own from a point source. In fact, to return to the beginning, he receives the music in exactly the reverse of the manner in which it went into the microphone from the musicians the vent into the microphone from the musicians of the listening to the performance at the same point to make the vary of the control of the control of the control of the less illustrating to the performance at the same point.

Summarizing

The Infinity Quantum Line Source consists of a number of radiators with unusual characteristics:

The Infinity Watkins Dual-Drive Woofer employs two voice coils, with the second (lower impedance) voice coil taking over at resonance and presenting a low impedance path in the region of resonance. Thus the amplifier never sees an impedance peak; only a flat impedance curve. This permits base response, combined with efficiency of the seaker.

A midbass coupler is assigned frequencies from 200 to 600 Hz; its acceleration capability and phasing are carefully matched to its adjacent radiators, the Dual-Drive Woofer and a midrange Line Source of six 1147 down drivers.

An Infinity Electromagnetic Induction Tweeter (EMIT) has been developed, using the rare-earth material Samarian Cobali, to provide a configuration that is the magnetic analogue of the electrostatic, and recuircs no transformer. EMIT, a 48-inch vertical inse of virtually massless high-frequency radiators combines 180° horizontal dispersion with Line Source Frequency information.

The perfection of the Quantum Line Source, which brings together several high-technology advances, has effectively removed the speaker system as a source of inaccuracy.



C2006 Infinity Systems, Inc., 7000 Decring Agence Consea Park CA 91004

finity Systems, Inc., 7900 Deering Asenuc, Caroga Park, CA 9130-Phone (213) 883-4800 • TWX 910-494-4989